

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/712,408	MCCLELLAN ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Kathryn Odland	3743

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Kathryn Odland.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Nilles.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 24 November 2003

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-11

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet


**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In the response to restriction requirement dated October 27, 2003 applicant replied to the restriction but did not elect a species. It was agreed that Species I includes figures 1-3 and 5 as well as 6 and 9 and that claims 1-3,5,6,8,9 and 11 read on the species elected..



Henry J. Renett  
Supervisory Patent Examiner  
Group 3700